



A WEEKLY COMMENTARY

ON TARGET



- NEWS HIGHLIGHTS
- BACKGROUND INFORMATION
- COMMONWEALTH AFFAIRS

The price of Freedom is eternal vigilance –

Print Post Publication Number 381667 00258

Vol.42, No.6

February 17th, 2006

THOUGHT FOR THE WEEK: "The first step in undermining confidence in a long-established institution is to raise destructive questions about it in the guise of giving patronising advice for its own good. We have seen this unrelenting ideology being spread around for the last 25 years with the continued assault on certain members of the Royal Family. Our Monarchy and our Royal Family now occupy the focal point of the crucial spiritual and political conflict of this New Age. Between people treated as unique, living, human persons and people treated as equal, indistinguishable, economic, financial and political units; between family and the products of short-term mating; between propriety and impropriety; decency and indecency; between inheritance and disinheritance; between real wealth and outrageous accumulations of power based on debt; between the efficient near-perfection of a Royal Occasion, and the insane inefficiency of Big Business and a Government Department; in fact, between a nation continuing in its Christian tradition, and one which has abandoned it for largely atheistic, chaotic pluralism with no common morality."

– George Merritt in "The Republic of the Rich", 1999

THE SUBLIME AND THE PREDICTABLE by James Reed: The Murdoch media (among others) has for some time been launching a full-blown attack upon the credibility of Prince Charles. However on the rare occasion a few fish of truth swim through the net. *The Weekend Australian*, 29-29/1/06, p.22, reports on Prince Charles' organic Duchy Home Farm at Highgate in Gloucester. Two decades ago the farm adopted organic farming practices and the Prince set up his own food brand, 'Duchy Originals'. The company now has an output of AU\$11 million per year, with all profits going to charity.

What has Hawke, Whitlam, Keating and – Howard – done for charity lately? If they are active then I haven't read about it.

(They don't contribute *their* money to charity James, but they are very free with other people's money, such as the foreign aid, confiscated – legalised robbery – via the taxation system and used to prop up puppet regimes all round the world...*ed*).

Prince Charles is the person that the establishment media don't want as king - in fact the monarchy is to be abolished in favour of a grey, lifeless "republic". Despite lip service about having a popularly elected president (how about Pauline?) you can bet your wheat kickback dollar that the system will be ruled by conventional grey-water types. The same type of characters, for example, who feature in the "Wheat for Weapons" AWB scandal.

IN THIS ISSUE: • *Thought For The Week* • *The Sublime And The Predictable* • *The History Wars: Toward The Past* • *On Bended Knee To Islam* • *Special Three-In-One New Times Survey Pack* • *The Tragedy Of Abortion*

Scandal? Isn't it 'business as usual' in our wondrous, diverse Australia? Unsurprisingly the conduct of Ministers and the Prime Minister has been exempt from the Cole Inquiry. Although I hate being in agreement with Opposition Leader Kim Beazley, he was right in his claim to the National Press Club on 1/2/06 that John Howard, Mark Vaile and Alexander Downer all "knew", or ought to have known.

If the Australian ambassador to the US lobbied Congress not to investigate the AWB, then it is reasonable to suppose that the ambassador knew the AWB had something to hide. And surely the ambassador was not on a frolic of his own, but was acting under the directions of Foreign Minister Alexander Downer? As this was a trade issue which intersected with the Iraqi war issue, both the Trade Minister and the Prime Minister would, or should also have known.

Sure they did. According to *The Australian* of 3/2/06, p.1, the Cole Inquiry has already received evidence that the Department of Foreign Affairs and Trade had known since June 2003, 10 weeks after the war in Iraq had started, that kickbacks had been made to Saddam Hussein's regime.

The Weekend Australian of 4-5/2/06, p.1, reports that there were at least five separate occasions when the government had been warned of the illegal payments. Thus if Honest John, *et al*, did not know that the AWB was paying kickbacks to Saddam Hussein, **then it was only because they were recklessly indifferent about the truth.**

John Howard admitted on 3/2/06 that his government had received a report by Iraq's interim Coalition Provisional Authority that said Saddam Hussein had abused the Oil-for-Food programme by forcing suppliers to put kickbacks into the prices. Howard said that the report didn't specifically mention "wheat contracts". He maintains that even though Australia was one of Iraq's leading trading partners and under the Oil-for-Food programme was supplying over \$1.5 billion worth of wheat.

It goes to show that in the game of global capitalism, there are no enemies, only customers. We will feed you, take your money, then shoot you!

A republican system will present us with the same type of characters: tired grey men in a morally corrupt system. Prince Charles operates on a higher moral plane than the runts and grunters who presently rule the pig pen of modern politics.

THE HISTORY WARS: TOWARD THE PAST by Peter Ewer: Prime Minister John Howard's press club speech "Towards One Destiny" (26/1/06) well illustrates the fundamental incoherence of his world view. The speech was classical conservative stuff which would be right at home in *Quadrant*.

History as taught in schools is a stew of politically correct ideologies mixed with cultural relativism and post modernism. The values of the Enlightenment – individual freedom, egalitarianism and the rule of law – take second place to leftist "progressive" values of social reform. The institutions and values of British political culture are vilified.

All of this is true, but the great irony of all this is that Howard has done more to undermine Anglo-Australia than even that great defamer of the English, Paul Keating. Asian migration has been (officially – we all know that with illegals and Asians from New Zealand the figures are higher) in excess of 70 per cent of the annual intake for many years.

Economic globalisation has proceeded at full steam, a part of which is draconian industrial relations. Basic freedoms have been destroyed by his security legislation. But his conservative speeches still tug at the heart strings of those dopey conservatives who – with the same mindless faith of new-agers and *X-File* advocates of UFO alien abductions – "want to believe".

John Howard is not a conservative, but a radical globalist, more dangerous than those he (rightfully) criticises. Watch carefully – you can see the strings being pulled.

The genuine conservative educationist Kevin Donnelly has argued in his book *"Why Our Schools Are Failing"* and in many newspaper articles that high school and as well, university, history is essentially the product of the Left's long march through the institutions. Schools of education are primarily concerned with inculcating politically correct values.

Donnelly in *The Australian* of 31/1/06 substantiates this claim by a survey of the mission statements of most of the nation's schools of education. There we find that making teachers "agents for social change and justice" within a "critical Social-constructivist framework" is a primary concern.

A typical high school history text teaches that the foundation of Australia was based upon genocide. As Donnelly puts it: "European settlement is described as an invasion, Australia's Anglo-Celtic heritage is either marginalised or ignored, indigenous culture is portrayed as beyond reproach and teachers are told they must give priority to perspectives of gender, multiculturalism and global future (*The Weekend Australian*, 28-29/1/06, p.24).

Pre-1788 Aborigines are portrayed as "noble savages" living in a political and ecological utopia. No mention is ever made of their appalling treatment of women – giving teenage girls to old men ("Elders") was common. Nor is the fact of inter-tribal warfare mentioned.

Only with White settlement were individual Aborigines relatively free to move over Australia as before this they ran the risk of being killed by another tribe. So much for "migration", "multiculturalism" and "tolerance".

The aim of such education strategies is to cultivate a sense of shame so that Whites can be manipulated by the new class. The story behind all of this is complex and has been detailed in a variety of League publications. The influence of Jewish intellectual Franz Boas and other left wing 'intellectuals' would need to be examined against the background of real world history. Kevin MacDonald's book *"The Culture of Critique"* is a good starting point for those who wish to understand "how it got this bad". In conclusion, the Left, paradoxically, are perhaps right in their general claim that the study of history and social change are intrinsically connected. After studying the history of their activities maybe it is time for some real social change, but not of course in the direction they desire. Rather, genuine conservatives should believe in the motto, "forward to the past".

* *"The Culture of Critique"* by Kevin MacDonald \$65.00 posted from all League Book Services.

ON BENDED KNEE TO ISLAM by James Reed: Occurring simultaneously with the Muhammad cartoon controversy was the issue of police bias/inaction in respect to Middle Eastern race rioters. NSW Opposition leader Peter Debnam made headlines when he stated that the Labor Government of Premier Morris Iemma had encouraged police to "go soft" on the Middle Eastern revenge attackers in the wake of the Sydney December 2005 race riots. Not one Middle Eastern attacker had been arrested, although 30 White men had been charged. After the political storm erupted by January 31st, 2006, six Middle Easterners had been arrested.

The Opposition Leader stated what is now common knowledge: that the "go soft" position arose from Labor's political correctness. Many electorates had large Middle Eastern populations (a "reserve army" of Labor voters) and during the 1990s Labor Party branches had been stacked with Middle Eastern members.

Malcolm Kerr, a Liberal MP whose seat is Cronulla said that he had a multitude of constituents complain of inaction by police on revenge attacks (*The Weekend Australian*, 28-29/1/06, p.17). Iemma and

NSW police commissioner Ken Moroney claimed that the easy arrest of Anglos was made because of the existence of video footage, while there was no video footage of the Lebanese revenge attacks. However, Channel 9 showed video footage of about 30 Lebanese bashing an Anglo at Cronulla after the riot.

The Weekend Australian cites the example of a police document that said that the night after the Sydney riots vehicles and a crowd of Middle Eastern criminals were sighted in the vicinity of Punchbowl Park but: "A direction was given to the police around midnight not to enter the area and antagonise these persons."

Tim Priest, a retired detective, said (*The Australian* 25/1/06, p.8) that Middle Eastern criminals "were allowed to run amok" because of directives given from "up high" for the police to avoid taking action. Pacific Islanders were also involved in smashing cars during the riots, but no charges were laid over damage to vehicles (*The Weekend Australian*, 28-29/1/06, p.6).

This is the real face of multiculturalism. It is about the rule of law being forgotten in favour of political correctness. It is about the discrimination of the dispossessed majority (soon to be minority) Anglo population.

That which the great League man, Eric Butler, warned us about in his articles of forty years ago, is coming to pass. From the perspective of the racial health of the Anglo ethnic group, Australia's post World War II immigration programme has been little more than an "ethnic dilution" programme. We either think "ethnically" and "tribally" like all other groups do (which is our "multicultural right") or we disappear from the face of history.

SPECIAL THREE-IN-ONE New Times Survey pack – \$3.00 POSTED: Australians need to understand the elitists' goal of diluting the racial mix of the Australian people in order to fit us into their New World Order. Three earlier issues of the New Times Survey are being offered for the price of one: "Racial Treason: From the White Australia Policy to the Yellow Australia Policy"; "China-Threat: Yellow Peril Revisited"; and "It's Time: For a New Anti-Immigration Movement in Australia". **Special Pack available only from Box 1052, G.P.O., Melbourne, 3001. Price: \$3.00 posted.**

THE TRAGEDY OF ABORTION by James Reed: A report by the think tank, Women's Forum Australia, released at the 34th session of the UN Committee on the Elimination of All Forms of Discrimination Against Women, has stated that the two most common reasons why Australian women (read "White women") have abortions is that they think that they can't afford the baby or that the father does not want to accept responsibility for the child. (*The Australian*, 30/1/06, p.6). The Australian Institute of Health and Welfare released national abortion statistics which showed that one in four Australian pregnancies end in abortion.

Now leaving aside the feminist angle and the UN New World Order angle, it is clear even from the establishment's own data that the tragedy of abortion ultimately lies with the heartless financial/economic system that rules our lives. The social credit alternative at its most basic level would not permit innocent human lives to be sacrificed on the economic altar. This is an overwhelming moral argument in favour of social credit over the coldness and ruthlessness of global capitalism.

"ON TARGET" is printed and published by The Australian League of Rights, 145 Russell St., Melbourne.
Postal Address: GPO Box 1052, Melbourne, 3001. Telephone: (03) 9650 9749, Fax: (03) 9650 9368.
Subscription \$40.00 p.a.